

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/899,808	07/05/2001	Cindy L. Price	659-867	9654
7590 03/21/2005			EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE LTD.			KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0			3761	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	09/899,808	PRICE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michele Kidwell	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the second for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. The reply within the statutory minimum of thirteriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6	05 January 2005.					
, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-37,51 and 52 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-37 and 51-52 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction. 	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been	pplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. A) [] Interview S	summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 5, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15, 19 – 20, 25 – 28, 32, 35 – 37 and 51 – 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brusky (US 5,531,731).

With reference to claim 15, Brusky discloses an absorbent garment comprising a body panel having a length defined along a longitudinal direction and opposite side edges (figure 2) and a fastening member comprising at least two independently movable tab members each having an engagement portion independently releasably

Art Unit: 3761

and refastenably engaging said body panel (figure 2), wherein the tab members extend laterally inward in the same direction from the same one of the said side edges of said body panel and wherein the tab members are offset from each other in the longitudinal direction, each of the engagement portions having an engagement length wherein the sum of the engagement lengths is at least about 20% of the body panel length as shown in figure 2.

Brusky shows a body panel on the left side of the figure in figure 2. The examiner contends that the based on the length of the tab members in figure 2, the sum of the engagement lengths of those tab members is at least about 20% of the body panel length.

As to claim 19, Brusky discloses a body panel comprising a front body panel as shown in figure 2.

With reference to claim 20, Brusky discloses the fastening member comprising a carrier member (figure 5) having a length and further comprising a rear body panel (22) comprising a side edge having a length secured to the side edge of the front body panel along a seam (86) having a length as shown in figures 1 and 3.

As to claims 25 and 35, Brusky discloses the carrier member is fixedly secured to the rear body panel as set forth in col. 5, lines 9 – 27.

Regarding claims 26 and 36, Brusky discloses the front body panel comprising a pair of side portions each defining one of said opposite side edges and a landing member extending between the side portions, wherein the at least two engagement portions are releasably engaged with the landing member, and wherein the carrier

Art Unit: 3761

member is fixedly secured to the side portions of the front body panel as set forth in figures 1 - 3.

With reference to claims 27 and 37, Brusky discloses the front and rear body panels having substantially the same width as set forth in figure 2.

Regarding claim 28, Brusky discloses an absorbent garment comprising a body panel having a length defined along a longitudinal direction and opposite side edges and a fastening member comprising a carrier member defining at least two independently movable tab members extending laterally inward in the same direction from the same one of said side edges of the body panel and an engagement portion disposed on each of the tab members and wherein the tab members are offset from each other in the longitudinal direction, wherein the engagement portions independently releasably and refastenably engage the body panel, and wherein each of the engagement portions have an engagement length, wherein the sum of the engagement lengths is at least about 20% of the body panel length as set forth in the rejection of claim 14 and in figures 1 - 5.

As to claim 32, Brusky discloses a body panel comprising a front body panel, wherein the carrier member comprises a base portion wherein the tab members extend from the base portion, and wherein the base portion has a length (figures 2 and 5) and further comprising a rear body panel comprising a side edge having a length secured to one of said side edges of the front body panel along a seam having a length wherein the length of the carrier member is at least about 50% of the length of the seam as set forth in figures 1 - 5.

Art Unit: 3761

Regarding claims 51 and 52, see figures 1 - 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16 – 18, 21 – 24, 29 – 31 and 33 – 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brusky (US 5,531,731).

The difference between Brusky and claims 16 – 18 is the provision that the sum of the engagement lengths is less than about 90%, between about 30 – 80%, or between about 40 – 60%, respectively.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the sum of the engagement lengths to provide the claimed ranges since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only a level of ordinary skill in the art.

The difference between Brusky and claims 21 - 24 and 33 - 34 is the difference in the lengths of the carrier members relative to the seam and the length of the side edge of the rear body panel.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the length of the carrier member in order to obtain the most effective product since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art (i.e., a

Art Unit: 3761

carrier member that has a length), discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only a level of ordinary skill in the art.

The difference between Brusky and claims 29 - 31 is the provision that the sum of the engagement lengths is less than about 90%, between about 30 - 80%, or between about 40 - 60%, respectively.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the sum of the engagement lengths to provide the claimed ranges since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only a level of ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15 – 37 and 51 – 52 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 571-272-4935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 5:30am - 2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Schwartz can be reached on 571-272-4390. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michele Kidwell

Examiner

Art Unit 3761